

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,343	10/30/2003	Yukako Taka	03657/HG	5393	
1933	7590 05/19/2006		EXAMINER		
	, HOLTZ, GOODMA	SHEWAREGE	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
220 Fifth Ave 16TH Floor	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			1774		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,343	TAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Betelhem Shewareged	1774	

	Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(570) 664
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(P1OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Karali, Elad amandara	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-27</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s). <u>3/28/06</u>	
13. Other:	B		
	BETELHEN SHEWAREGED PRIMARY EXAMINER		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The hydrophilic resin of Maeda is not limited to the PVA-420 disclosed in Example 1. The hydrophilic resin of Maeda are listed in the paragraph bridging col. 7 and col. 8, and the listed hydrophilic resins are not limited to resins having no side chains. The resins may include a functional group that is capable of being crosslinked by irradiation. The resins may have another side chain. The resins may be ion modified or derivative thereof.